

## PROCESS Review of Articles

This methodology is to propose for publication in scientific works with high quality standards.

Each proposed work is reviewed by disciplinary area 2 reader of the work, established the Editorial Board of the magazine.

Review proposed work is done according to the following timetable:

LIMITS	REVIEW No	
	1	2
Deadline for submission of articles by the author / authors:	15 March	15 September
The term establishing reader:	20 March	20 September
Deadline for receipt of recension results work:	20 April	20 October
The deadline for publishing the review:	15 May	15 November

Each reader fills out a form seeking the following assessment:

1. currency basis and relevance of this hypothesis in the context of research in the field;
2. originality / innovative aspect of the work;
3. possibilities of application of the results;
4. timeliness and / or importance of bibliography study;
5. clarity and concision in the presentation;
6. degree of interdisciplinarity.

How to grant score is consistent with the evaluation form (Annex 1). The final score is the arithmetic mean of scores given by two referees. The paper will be accepted for publication if it obtains at least 70 points. If the difference between the scores given by the 2 reviewers is more than 20, work will be proposed for evaluation of a third reader. It will consider the scores given by the third reader and the referent whose score is closest to his score.

Periods of assessment work the third reader is following: 20-30 April, respectively, 20-30 October.

*Evaluation form will be accompanied by a brief review of the work.*

## EVALUATION FORM

1. Academic degree, scientific title, name and surname reader
2. Title of work proposed for evaluation
3. Author / Authors
4. Key assessment

Nr. crt.	The evaluation criteria	score maximum	points awarded	Obs.
1.	News basis and relevance of this hypothesis in the context of research in the field	20		
2.	Originality / innovative aspect of the work	30		
3.	Possibilities of application of the results	20		
4.	News and / or importance bibliography studied	10		
5.	Clarity and conciseness in presentation	10		
6.	The degree of interdisciplinarity	10		
The final score		<b>100</b>		

1 Review

Date

Signature